



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,884	08/27/2007	Karl G. Csaky	NIHA 0383	8820
45160	7590	03/08/2011	EXAMINER	
OTT- NIH c/o WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			AUDET, MAURY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			03/08/2011	DELIVERY MODE
				ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eofficemonitor@woodcock.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,884	CSAKY ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MAURY AUDET	1654	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) MAURY AUDET. (3) _____.

(2) Jane Inglese, Applicant's Representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2011

Time: 1400

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Of Record

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maury Audet/
Priority Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was telephoned to discuss the outstanding rejections of record and options for addressing to advance prosecution prior to the next deadline. Applicant indicated they plan to discuss further, possibly seek rejoinder of non-elected method group(s) if the product be found allowable after response, and will respond in writing..